

## UNITED STATE. EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Unit d Stat s Pat nt and Trademark Office

ess: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/498,	098 02/04	/00 STACK	J	AUR01330
		٦	EXAMINER	
		HM12/0604		
Lisa Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP			PENN, M	
4365 Executive Drive, Suite 1600			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Die	go CA 92121	-2189	1633	7
		•		06/04/0:

PI ase find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

<b>4</b>		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
	Offic Action Summary	09/49	8,098	STACK ET AL.				
	one nonen cummany	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Michae	el Penn	1633				
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD LING DATE OF THIS COMMU so of time may be available under the provision of the major of this coord for reply specified above is less than thirty and for reply is specified above, the maximum reply within the set or extended period for repreceived by the Office later than three month lent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r mmunication. r (30) days, a reply within the a statutory period will apply a ply will, by statute, cause the is after the mailing date of thi	no event, however, may a reply be tir statutory minimum of thirty (30) day nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s)	filed on 04 Februar	<u>v 2000</u> .					
2a) ☐ T	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40,50 and 55-60</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□ CI	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ CI	ims <u>1-40,50 and 55-60</u> are su	bject to restriction a	nd/or election requiremen	t.				
Application	Papers							
	e specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
· _	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	knowledgement is made of a cl		·					
Attachment(s)								
16) X Notice	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviev ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		· —	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-40, and 55, drawn to a recombinant protein molecule and methods of detecting an activity in a cell comprising providing a cell comprising a **protein** with a destabilization domain, a reporter moiety, and a linker moiety, classified in class 530, subclass 350+.
- II. Claims 1-40, 50, and 60, drawn to a recombinant DNA molecule and methods of detecting an activity in a cell comprising providing a cell comprising a **nucleic acid** encoding a destabilization domain, a reporter moiety, and a linker moiety, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

Claims 1-40 link inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-40. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting

Art Unit: 1633

rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I is patentably distinct from Invention II because invention I is drawn to a recombinant protein and methods of utilizing that protein, whereas Invention II is drawn to a recombinant nucleic acid molecule and methods of utilizing that nucleic acid. These are distinct inventions, as the nucleic acid does not require the protein for practice of the methods as claimed. Furthermore, wholly different methods of use would need to be employed for using proteins versus using nucleic acids, and a divergent search would be required. Therefore, restriction is proper.

Note further that this application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions. Should applicant elect Invention I or II, applicant is further required to elect a species from the following cell types listed in claims 15-18 and 28-30:

- a) mammalian cell
- b) yeast cell
- c) insect cell
- d) plant cell

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 23 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1633

Inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Penn who can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 p.m. at (703) 308-2454.

Questions of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Kimberly Davis, who can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. at (703) 305-3015.

Questions of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

If attempts to reach the examiner, patent analyst or Group receptionist are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Clark, can be reached on (703) 305-4051.

The official fax number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Michael G. Penn

DEBORAH J. R. CLARK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600